I	F	ΔΩ	ΝΛi	crc	dat	a C	atal	na	116	
	Г	HΟ	IVII	CIC	vuai	a c	alai	υu	uŧ	١

# Nigeria - Feed the Future Nigeria Livelihoods Project - Baseline Survey, 2015

Gautam Bastian, Sreelakshmi Papineni

Report generated on: September 10, 2020

Visit our data catalog at: <a href="https://microdata.fao.org/index.php">https://microdata.fao.org/index.php</a>

#### Overview

#### Identification

**ID NUMBER** 

NGA\_2015\_FNLP-BS\_v01\_EN\_M\_v01\_A\_OCS

#### **Overview**

#### **ABSTRACT**

Feed the Future Nigeria Livelihoods Project (FNLP) is a multi-component development project based on the graduation model pioneered by Bangladesh Rural Advancement Committee (BRAC) that intends to help 42,000 very poor households across rural communities of northern Nigeria's Sokoto and Kebbi states, and the Federal Capital Territory (FCT). FNLP is a 5-year program implemented by Catholic Relief Services (CRS). Both the program and the impact evaluation are funded by United States Agency for International Development (USAID).

This program approach is founded on an agriculture-led growth strategy that is expected to help vulnerable families diversify their income and grow assets while the community is strengthened by improving nutrition, water sanitation, and hygiene. The most vulnerable families receive cash transfers. A caseworker-led livelihood mentoring scheme also matches households with the resources they need to engage effectively in the local economy and break free from the cycle of poverty and malnutrition.

The impact evaluation, led by The World Bank's Africa Gender Innovation Lab (GIL), is being conducted in Kebbi state in North-West Nigeria and will evaluate the impact of the overall program as well as two experiments that focus on the impact of the cash transfers and the caseworker mentoring scheme. Baseline data was collected for the FNLP starting in May 2015.

KIND OF DATA

Sample survey data [ssd]

UNITS OF ANALYSIS

Households

#### Scope

#### **NOTES**

The scope of Feed the Future Nigeria Livelihoods Project (FNLP) includes:

- HOUSEHOLD: Household roster and demographics, Labor, Non-farm enterprises, Credit and savings, Household and agriculture assets, Housing, Food consumption and expenditure, Non-food expenditure, Food security, Safety nets, Economic shocks, Health, Risk aversion, Hyperbolic discounting, Aspirations and Women's survey.
- AGRICULTURE: Plot roster, Land inventory, Agricultural labor, Field crops and seed acquisition, Crop disposition, Animal holdings and costs, Agriculture by-product and Extension services.

#### Coverage

GEOGRAPHIC COVERAGE

Village level

#### **UNIVERSE**

Households in both FNLP villages and villages not receiving FNLP services but are part of the control group for the impact evaluation.

## **Producers and Sponsors**

#### PRIMARY INVESTIGATOR(S)

Name	Affiliation	
Gautam Bastian	World Bank	
Sreelakshmi Papineni	World Bank	

#### **FUNDING**

Name	Abbreviation	Role	
The World Bank		Funding	

## **Metadata Production**

#### METADATA PRODUCED BY

Name	Abbreviation	Affiliation	Role	
Development Economics Data Group	DECDG	The World Bank	Documentation of the DDI	
Office of Chief Statistician	ocs	Food and Agriculture Organization	Metadata adapted for FAM	

DDI DOCUMENT VERSION
NGA\_2015\_FNLP-BS\_v01\_EN\_M\_v01\_A\_OCS\_v01

DDI\_NGA\_2015\_FNLP-BS\_v01\_EN\_M\_v01\_A\_OCS\_FAO

## Sampling

### **Sampling Procedure**

To determine which areas within Kebbi State would benefit from the FNLP program and to establish a sample of vulnerable households that will be part of the program and impact evaluation, CRS and GIL identified eligible communities and households in Kebbi using a number of steps. Detailed explanations of each stage in the process are provided in the baseline report (Attached in the Related Materials).

For the Impact Evaluation baseline survey, a sample of 2,400 EV households and 1,100 households equally divided between the VV and ML households was necessary based on power calculations. We sampled 2,074 of the 'Class B' households in FNLP treatment villages and 2,254 from FNLP control villages and sent this sample of 4,328 households to the survey firm to conduct a baseline survey.

#### **Response Rate**

The number of household interviews completed was 3,976 for a household response rate of 92 percent.

# Questionnaires

No content available

# **Data Collection**

## **Data Collection Dates**

 Start
 End
 Cycle

 2015-05-01
 2015-06-01
 Baseline

#### **Data Collection Mode**

Computer Assisted Personal Interview [capi]

## **Data Processing**

#### **Data Editing**

Data quality was ensured at several levels. At the tablet level, the questionnaire was programmed so that questions or sections could not be skipped by interviewers. Numerous quality checks were also built into the programming that identified inconsistencies and prevented interviewers from moving forward with the survey until errors were corrected. Logic checks and range checks were also included in the programming so that implausible entries were flagged to the interviewer at the time of surveying.

Monitoring of data collection activities was also conducted by several people. Supervisors monitored interviewer performance by observing interviews and conducting spot checks that consisted of assessing whether questions were being asked appropriately and providing immediate feedback to interviewers. The World Bank's Project Manager and Field Coordinator also provided another layer of quality control, visiting each interviewer team at least twice each week to observe interviews and review household listings.

A final level of data quality control involved the use of quality control reports that were automatically generated using a quality-check file created by the research team at the World Bank. The file would scan the data for possible errors or large outliers as soon as data was downloaded from the server. The types of checks the file would make included the following: whether the household identifiers were unique within the dataset, whether interviews were being completed in their entirety, reviewing observations with duplicate values of a variable for which duplicates are uncommon, checking that no variables have only missing values, checking important skip patterns, range checks and interviewer comments. This helped with data accuracy as the report was reviewed at least every week by the research team throughout the data collection period and any errors could be sent back to the field team and rectified in real time while the data collection was still taking place.

# Data Appraisal

No content available