

MEMORANDUM

DATE: November 27, 1992
TO: All KHDS Researchers
FROM: Martha Ainsworth and Phare Mujinja, KHDS Researchers

SUBJECT: Changes and corrections to the Wave 3 Household Questionnaire, and some new data entry checks

Changes and corrections in the Wave 3 Household Questionnaire

During the course of the Wave 3 training program, it became evident to us that the following changes and corrections were necessary in the Wave 3 Household Questionnaire. We recommend that the following changes be implemented in the next printing of household questionnaires. They have been hand-entered into the first month's questionnaires.

1. Print a "box" on page 1 of the household questionnaire for the enumeration identification code, under SURVEY INFORMATION.

ENUMERATION CODE

Rationale: During Wave 2, the interviewers were instructed to write the enumeration identifier (5 digits) on the top of the first page where it is entered. However, there is currently no "box" for the number to be written into.

2. Opposite page 3, instruction B, replace WAVE 1 with WAVE 2: "PROBE FOR NEW BIRTHS, NEW SPOUSES AND CHILDREN ... SINCE WAVE 2."

3. Section 1A, question 1A, page 3, replace WAVE 1 with WAVE 2: "FOR PERSONS FROM WAVE 2".

4. Respondent box opposite page 3A, replace WAVE 1 with WAVE 2: "TO BE ASKED ABOUT ALL PERSONS FROM WAVE 2..."

5. Add a new code to Section 1B, question 1, page 3A:

**STILL HERE, NOT A MEMBER 3
(>NEXT PERSON)**

Rationale: Occasionally, a person who was a household member from a previous wave becomes a non-member in the current wave but is still living with the household. For example, a makubaliano servant may become a tenant. Under the existing coding for question 1, there are only two options -- moved or died. During Wave 2 training, to avoid having to change the questionnaire and the data entry program, we instructed the interviewers to use code 2: NO, DIED ...2. However, this was a stop-gap solution. Whenever code 2 is used, the data entry program searches for a household member who died in Section 20A. If there was no death, then the analysts will think that a death was missed. On the other hand, if code 1 is used (YES, MOVED), then the respondent must answer questions about moving, which are not applicable. This change is an opportunity to correct a rare but potentially misleading response.

6. Opposite page 4, Instruction 1E, replace WAVE 2 with WAVE 3: "COMPLETE QUESTIONS 3-17 FOR ALL CHILDREN LIVING ELSEWHERE IN WAVE 3. (THEY HAVE AN % IN THE COLUMN FOR WAVE 3.)"

7. Section 2, question 2A, page 4,

- (a) Replace WAVE 1 with WAVE 2 for codes 5 and 8:
CHILD LEFT HH SINCE WAVE 25
CHILD MISSED IN WAVE 28
- (b) Skip instructions for codes 1, 6, 7, and 8, should say (>W3x), not (>W2%).

8. Section 2, question 2B, page 4,

- (a)The instruction should say, "WHAT WAS HIS/HER ID CODE IN WAVE 2?"
- (b)The skip instruction should say >W3%, not >W2%

9. Section 4, question 4, page 7: Drop the phrase "raised or" ("ama kutunza" in Swahili). In the English version, remove "raised or" from both questions 3 and 4.

Rationale: The purpose of Section 12 is to collect information on an important asset -- livestock owned by the household -- and to find out about any income from sale of livestock or livestock products. The income of persons employed as herders but who own no livestock of their own will be collected in Section 7B. The purpose of questions 3 and 4 of Section 4 is to identify the person in the household who will answer Section 12. The questions in the latter section, although phrased in terms of "raised or owned", in fact cannot be answered by someone who raised someone else's livestock but owned none. For example, a herder who owns no livestock would answer YES to question 2 of Section 12, but would be unable to answer questions 3 and 4. The answers for all other questions are likely to relate to the household he/she works for, not the activities of the household in question. Thus, these changes essentially direct the interviewer to complete section 12 only if the household owns or owned any livestock since the last wave. Since most of the questions in Section 12 would be redundant to herders who own no livestock, this change is not expected to affect the comparability of information between waves. It eliminates a logical inconsistency in the questionnaire and saves the time of interviewers and respondents.

10.

- (a) Section 11A, question 12, page 46: Change skip instruction for code 2 to (>16).
- (b) Section 11A, question 13, page 46: Change skip instruction for code 1 to (>16).
- (c) Section 11A, question 14, page 46: Change skip instruction for code 2 to (>16).
- (d) Section 11A, question 15, page 46: Drop skip instruction in question 15.
- (e) Section 11A, page 46: Add the following new question:
16. Does a member of your household still own this shamba? (Je, mwanakaya wako bado angali ananiiliki shamba hili?)
YES 1
NO2
 >NEXT SHAMBA

Rationale: The introduction, question A, and Section 11A, question 1, ask the respondent about all of the shambas owned during the past 6 months. This would include all of the shambas owned during part of the time and then sold. In the introduction, questions D and E, we find out whether the household sold any shambas in the past 6 months, and their value. However, neither in the introduction nor in Section 11A do we find out the number of shambas currently owned by the household. The addition of this question will allow us to identify which of the shambas has been sold, and how many are currently owned.

11.

- (a) Section 12, question 1, page 51: Drop "raised or" ("au amekuwa" in Kiswahili).
- (b) Section 12, question 2, page 51: Drop "or are they raising" ("au anafuga" in Kiswahili).

12. Section 16D, question 4, page 59: Replace "kaya yako?" at the end of the question with "rika la wanakaya wako?"

**13. Section 20A, question 4, page 79: Add a new code:
NA/HOUSEHOLD DISINTEGRATED... 16**

Rationale: The interviewers have encountered numerous cases in which a household member (such as the head) died between waves and the household disintegrated. In these instances, they have been instructed to complete section 1A, 1B, and 20A. However, question 4, concerning the relationship between the deceased and the current head of the household, cannot be answered if the household no longer exists.

Some new data entry checks

1. Time spent working on collective shambas belonging to an age group (Section 7C, question 8A) is supposed to be a subset of the time spent working on collective shambas (Section 7C, question 8). The sum of the answers to 8A should be less than or equal to the sum of the answers to question 8:

(Section 7C, question 8) >= (Section 7C, question 8A)

2. Time spent helping members of an age group not for pay (Section 7E, question 17A) is supposed to be a subset of the time spent helping others not for pay (Section 7E, question 17). The sum of the answers to 17A should be less than or equal to the sum of the answers to question 17:

(Section 7E, question 17) >= (Section 7E, question 17A)

3. "Other income" in question 9B of Section 7H is supposed to include the value of all remittances received by the respondent in the past 6 months. This should include the value of all of the three remittances mentioned in Section 19A plus any other unrecorded remittances. The proposed check between "other income" in Section 7H and remittances received in section 19A is:

(Section 7H, Q9B) >= (Section 19A, Q7+Q18+Q29+Q36)

4. All funeral expenditures are supposed to be recorded in Section 20A, question 43, whether they were financed out of the household's own funds or from donations of others. All donations for the funeral from others are supposed to be recorded in question 45. Thus, the answer to question 43 must be greater than or equal to the answer to question 45. The check is:

(Section 20A, Q 43) >= (Section 20A, Q45)

cc: Indrani Gupta, Khadija Alia Bah