

Ethiopia - End-line Survey for the Impact Evaluation of the UN Joint Program Rural Women Economic Empowerment in Ethiopia, 2019

Erdgin Mane, Susan Kaaria

Report generated on: October 15, 2021

Visit our data catalog at: <https://microdata.fao.org/index.php>

Overview

Identification

ID NUMBER

ETH_2019_UNJP-RWEE_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS

Overview

ABSTRACT

The UN Joint Programme focused on Rural Women's Economic Empowerment (UNJP-RWEE) was launched in Ethiopia in 2014 by UN Women, the Food and Agriculture Organization of the UN (FAO), the World Food Programme (WFP), and the International Fund for Agriculture Development (IFAD). UNJP-RWEE was a five-year long initiative with the objective of accelerating the economic empowerment of rural women in the regions of Oromia and Afar. The project provided women with greater access to credit through women-run rural savings and credit cooperatives (RUSACCOs), as well as numeracy, literacy, finance, and business-development training; agricultural livestock and technology transfers; agricultural training; and community-run educational conversations in healthy eating choices and nutrition. To assess the extent to which the UNJP was effective in empowering women economically, an impact evaluation was conducted by the FAO in partnership with IFAD, and IFPRI. The FAO received a grant from GAAP2-IFPRI, facilitated by the Gates Foundation, to conduct a quasi-experimental impact evaluation with a difference-in-difference approach using a revised version of the Women's Empowerment in Agriculture Index (WEAI), the Pro-WEAI. In Oromia, the list of beneficiaries including their Kebeles were retrieved from the baseline survey. In total 750 households were surveyed in the Oromia region. In Afar, the number of beneficiary households interviewed increased to 450, 250 of which were beneficiaries and the rest control. In addition to the 95 beneficiary household included at baseline, 155 new beneficiaries were included. Two additional control Kebeles were also included in Afar. Follow-up interviews were conducted with 389 women in the beneficiary communities and 358 women in the comparison communities, and 303 men in the beneficiary households and 314 men in the comparison communities. In all, there are 736 households where the same female respondent was administered the survey at both baseline and end-line.

KIND OF DATA

Sample survey data [ssd]

UNITS OF ANALYSIS

Households

Scope

NOTES

The main topics covered in the household survey:

- Demographic characteristics of individuals living in the household
- Employment of youth and adults
- Dwelling characteristics and distance to various services
- Cash and In-kind transfers received by any household member from the government or an organization
- Training or capacity development received by any member of the household from the government or an organization.
- Shocks experienced by the household that resulted in significant reduction of income or consumption.

KEYWORDS

Women's Empowerment, Women Empowerment Agriculture Index, Food Insecurity Experience Scale, Impact Evaluation

Coverage

GEOGRAPHIC COVERAGE

Regional Coverage

Producers and Sponsors

PRIMARY INVESTIGATOR(S)

Name	Affiliation
Erdgin Mane	FAO
Susan Kaaria	FAO

OTHER PRODUCER(S)

Name	Affiliation	Role
Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations	International Organization	Technical Assistance
Mihret Alemu	FAO Ethiopia	Field Support
Tadele Ferede	Department of Economics at Addis Abeba University	Collaborator
Atlaw Alemu	Department of Economics at Addis Abeba University	Collaborator
Marya Hillesland	FAO	Collaborator
The United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women	International Organization	Collaborator
World Food Programme	International Organization	Collaborator
The International Food Policy Research Institute	International Organization	Collaborator

FUNDING

Name	Abbreviation	Role
The International Food Policy Research Institute	IFPRI	
The Food and Agriculture Organization of the UN	FAO	
Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation	FAO	

Metadata Production

METADATA PRODUCED BY

Name	Abbreviation	Affiliation	Role
Office of Chief Statistician	OCS	Food and Agriculture Organization	Metadata adapted for FAM
Natalia Piedrahita		Food and Agriculture Organization	Metadata producer

DDI DOCUMENT VERSION

ETH_2019_UNJP-RWEE_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS

DDI DOCUMENT ID

DDI_ETH_2019_UNJP-RWEE_v01_EN_M_v01_A_OCS_FAO

Sampling

Sampling Procedure

In the Oromia National Regional State, the same Woredas that were covered in the baseline are maintained. The list of project beneficiaries including their Kebeles was retrieved from the baseline survey which was conducted in 2017. In addition, control Kebeles were also obtained from the previous survey database. In the baseline, in the beneficiary communities, a random sample was drawn from the RUSSACO members, and from comparable kebeles. Six beneficiary kebeles were selected in Oromia: (1) Illuf Dirre and (2) Nannoo Chemerri in the Yaya Gulele Woreda; (3) Bura Adelle and (4) Wabe Burkitu in the Dodola Woreda; and (5) Abine Garmamme and (6) Annenno Shisho in the Adami Tulu Woreda. The comparison kebeles are adjacent communities in which the UNJP-RWEE does not operate but that are similar in size; have similar agricultural systems, livelihoods; and cultural norms, and thus are deemed valid counterfactuals. In Oromia, the control communities are: (1) Lemi; (2) Dedfe; (3) Haleko Gulenta Boke; (4) Werji Washingula; (5) Baressa; and (6) Keta Berenda. In Afar National Regional State, in addition to households interviewed at baseline, an additional 150 beneficiary households were interviewed in: (1) Asboda and (2) Boyina in the Dubti Woreda, and an additional 50 control households were interviewed from a newly selected Kebeles. The control communities were therefore (1) Hanikesen and (2) Aredo; (3) Gudmaydil; and (4) Gayder).

Weighting

No weights

Questionnaires

No content available

Data Collection

Data Collection Dates

Start	End	Cycle
2019-02-09	2019-02-16	N/A

Data Collection Mode

Computer Assisted Personal Interview [capi]

Data Processing

Other Processing

The dataset was anonymized by the Office of Chief Statistician. All direct identifiers have been removed and statistical disclosure control methods were applied where necessary, such as recoding and local suppressions.

Data Appraisal

No content available